

## "Roadmap to Low-Carbon World" Group 3: Enabling Low Carbon Societies: Investment

#### February 13, 2008 Yurika Ayukawa WWF Japan, Climate Change Programme





## What the IPCC 4<sup>th</sup> AR Indicated

- WGI showed the temperature increase in the past 100 years was 0.78 degree C, and will increase by 0.2 degrees C per 10 years till 2030.
  It is inevitable to see an increase of 1.14 degrees C by 2030.
- WGII showed the extent of impacts according to temperature increase. The "below 2 degrees C" threshold is imperative in order to avoid serious impacts from climate change.
- However, WGIII showed that it is still possible to avoid the dangerous temperature change by peaking the emissions by 2015 and make a deep declining curve to a 50-85% reduction from 2000 levels by 2050.



Global mean annual temperature change relative to 1980-1999 (°C)											
0 1			2	2	3 4	5	°C				
WATER	Increased w	ater availability in	moist tropi	cs and high latitudes  =		>	3.4.1, 3.4.3				
	Decreasing	water availability a	nd increasi	ng drought in mid-latit	udes and semi-arid low	latitudes 🗕 🗕 🗕 🗭	3.ES, 3.4.1, 3.4.3				
	Hundreds of	f millions of people	exposed to	o increased water stres	s <b></b>		3.5.1, T3.3, 20.6.2,				
							TS.B5				
ECOSYSTEMS			Up to 30% increasing	of species at	Sig	nificant <sup>†</sup> extinctions	4.ES, 4.4.11				
	Increased coral b	bleaching — Most	corals bleach	ned — Widespread	coral mortality — — — –		T4.1, F4.4, B4.4,				
				Terrestrial biospher	re tends toward a net ca	rbon source as:	0.4.1, 0.0.5, B0.1				
				~15% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	40% of ecosystems affec	ted	F4.4				
	Increasing specie	es range shifts and w	ldfire risk				4.2.2, 4.4.1, 4.4.4, 4.4.5, 4.4.6, 4.4.10, B4.5				
				Ecosystem changes	s due to weakening of t	he meridional 🗕 🗭	19.3.5				
FOOD	Complex, local	ised negative impa	cts on smal	I holders, subsistence f	armers and fishers — –		5.ES, 5.4.7				
		to decreas	e in low lati	itudes	Productivity of decreases in l	of all cereals 🗕 🗕 🔶 ow latitudes	5.ES, 5.4.2, F5.2				
		Tendencies	or some cere	al productivity	Cereal produc	tivity to	5.ES. 5.4.2. F5.2				
		to increase a	t mid- to high	niatitudes	decrease in so	ome regions					
COASTS	Increased dam	age from floods ar	d storms 🗕		About 2004 of	>	6.ES, 6.3.2, 6.4.1, 6.4.2				
					global coastal		6.4.1				
				Millions more neonle o	ould experience						
				coastal flooding each y	year		16.6, F6.8, TS.B5				
	Incre	easing burden from	malnutriti	on, diarrhoeal, cardio-r	espiratory, and infection	us diseases — — — —	8.ES, 8.4.1, 8.7,				
HEALTH	Increased mo	rhidity and mortali	ty from hea	t wayos floods and dr			8.ES, 8.2.2, 8.2.3,				
	increased mor	rolarty and mortan	ty nomnea	t waves, noous, and un	ougnes		8.4.1, 8.4.2, 8.7, T8.3, F8.3				
	Changed dist	ribution of some d	sease vecto	ors <b>— — — — — — —</b>			8.ES, 8.2.8, 8.7, B8.4				
				Sul	bstantial burden on hea	Ith services – – –	8.6.1				
	0	1 Global moor	annual ta	2	3 4	5	°C				
2 degrees C from preindustrialized I			evel	* Based on average rate of sea level rise of 4.2 mm/year from 2000 to 2080.							

### **The Scenario We Need to Implement**

Table SPM.5: Characteristics of post-TAR stabilization scenarios [Table TS 2, 3.10]<sup>a</sup>)

Category	Radiative forcing (W/m²)	CO <sub>2</sub> concentration <sup>c)</sup> (ppm)	CO <sub>2</sub> -eq concentration <sup>c)</sup> (ppm)	Global mean temperature increase above pre- industrial at equilibrium, using "best estimate" climate sensitivity <sup>b), c)</sup> (°C)	Peaking year for CO <sub>2</sub> emissions <sup>d)</sup>	Change in global CO <sub>2</sub> emissions in 2050 (% of 2000 emissions) <sup>d</sup> )	No. of assessed scenarios
	2.5-3.0	350-400	445-490	2.0-2.4	2000-2015	-85 to -50	> 6
Ш	3.0-3.5	400-440	490-535	2.4-2.8	2000-2020	-60 to -30	18
III	3.5-4.0	440-485	535-590	2.8-3.2	2010-2030	-30 to +5	21
IV	4.0-5.0	485-570	590-710	3.2-4.0	2020-2060	+10 to +60	118
v	5.0-6.0	570-660	710-855	4.0-4.9	2050-2080	+25 to +85	9
VI	6.0-7.5	660-790	855-1130	4.9-6.1	2060-2090	+90 to +140	5
						Total	177

a) The understanding of the climate system response to radiative forcing as well as feedbacks is assessed in detail in the AR4 WGI Report. Feedbacks between the carbon cycle and climate change affect the required mitigation for a particular stabilization level of atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration. These feedbacks are expected to increase the fraction of anthropogenic emissions that remains in the atmosphere as the climate system warms. Therefore, the emission reductions to meet a particular stabilization level reported in the mitigation studies assessed here might be underestimated.

b) The best estimate of climate sensitivity is 3°C [WG 1 SPM].

c) Note that global mean temperature at equilibrium is different from expected global mean temperature at the time of stabilization of GHG concentrations due to the inertia of the climate system. For the majority of scenarios assessed, stabilisation of GHG concentrations occurs between 2100 and 2150.

d) Ranges correspond to the 15<sup>th</sup> to 85<sup>th</sup> percentile of the post-TAR scenario distribution. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are shown so multi-gas scenarios can be compared with CO<sub>2</sub>only scenarios.



## The Scenario We Need to Implement







## How to Make Deep Cuts Happen

- Kyoto Protocol is only a first step, but an important step forward
- Need to start taking drastic steps NOW (during the 1<sup>st</sup> Commitment Period), to enable deeper emissions cuts that will be essential after 2012 when Kyoto Protocol is over.
- Most important factor is to **put a price on carbon emission**.
- The cost of GHG emissions should be implanted into every sector economy-wide, as an economic measure, such as carbon tax, or cap&trade emissions trading system.
- In this way, people will start to think seriously how to avoid it, and will promote innovative technology to be dispersed widely in the society.





## Where does Japanese CO2 emit from? (Direct Emissions for 2005)



#### Indirect Emissions Sources (compared to 1990)







## Deeper Cuts Should Firstly Be Made in the Large Emitting Sectors

- WWF proposes a Cap&Trade Domestic Emissions Trading System as the most cost effective measure to enable deeper cuts from the big emitting sectors, power & industry.
- The system will be one tool to accelerate social structural changes towards a Low Carbon Society
  - Such changes will be the driver to develop new innovative technologies, expand the use of existing best practices at a lower cost, and improve the efficiency of the society as a whole.

Released WWF Proposal, "Emissions Trading Scheme For Decarbonizing Japan" (January 24, 2007)

#### Published it into a book (October, 2007)













## WWF's "Emissions Trading Scheme For Decarbonizing Japan"

- GHG coverage: CO2 by Direct Emissions in a Downstream type (Power generation, industry use, industrial processes)
- Cap: 639 million tons CO2 Coverage: 64%
- Allocation:Grandfathering + 5% auction + 5% new entrants reserve (in the future,benchmarking+auctioning)
- Grandfathering to each sector will be based on average of base year 2000-2004
- First commitment period: 2008-2012
- Allocation to each factory will be a bottom-up approach based on average of base year, using a Compliance Factor to adjust the total amount of allocation





## WWF's "Emissions Trading Scheme For Decarbonizing Japan"

• Policy Mix ideas for sectors not directly covered by ETS

1) Tax

- Tax on the upstream, with 75% exemption for those covered by ETS
- At the downstream, the tax will be paid indirectly through increased price shift
- 2) Baseline & Credit Trading System
  - Sectors, such as commercial, residential, transport and other small business will do emission reduction projects and sell the reduction credit to those covered by ETS

 In this case, we propose a "Baseline & Credit Reserve" in the ETS in order to avoid double counting of reduction from electricity and heat

We also propose a Japanese version of the CDM EB to ensure a ton is a real ton



## Why Emissions Trading is Essential

1. Guarantees reduction amount by capping large emitters

2 Abatement cost differs according to companies, which enables companies to use this difference to minimize cost by using the trading system

3. Can sell the amount of efforts, but can also buy when necessary, so flexibility is guaranteed

4 . Can reduce risks from impacts of climate change as well as climate policy to be introduced, and the investor value will increase as a reliable company

5. Social/Economical structure will change to realize a low-carbon society





# ETS essential from an International perspective

- EU ETS operating since 2005 as a pilot phase, its second phase started 2008, and is already planning for the third phase starting 2013 in order to realize the 20-30% reduction goal by 2020.
- Norway, Canada, Australian States and 10 Eastern States in the USA as well as California and 5 western states, midwestern states are developing a similar system, aiming to link together with EU ETS.
- A global carbon market is being discussed at the International Carbon Action Partnership (ICAP) established October, 2007.
- At the US Senate level, a Lieberman-Warner Climate Security Act was voted out to the Senate floor in the end of 2007.







## Japan's National Interest is being threatened, as we are about to be left behind!

- Japan's major climate policy is only based on voluntary scheme.
- No mandatory scheme, no economic measures, or regulation.
- In the Lieberman-Warner bill, there is a provision that requires importers of primary goods from countries that do not have comparable GHG controls to buy and submit special allowances to cover their products' GHG emissions.
- If Japan sticks to just "Voluntary Actions", it may be regarded "not comparable" to the cap&trade to be adopted in the USA.
- It is about time for Japan to really seriously consider these consequences, and about making a low carbon society nationally as well as worldwide.





#### Thank you very much ! http://www.wwf.or.jp/climate climate@wwf.or.jp



#### © WWF-Canon / Adam OSWELL

